

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,042	07/11/2001	K. Michael Han	M-7468 US	1940
7	590 10/23/2002			
Theodore P. Lopez SKJERVEN MORRILL MacPHERSON LLP Suite 700 25 Metro Drive San Jose, CA 95110-1349			EXAMINER	
			WOJCIECHOWICZ,	EDWARD JOSEPH
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	+

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/904,042

o. Applicant(s)

Han

Examiner

**Edward Wojciechowicz** 

Art Unit 2815



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)[💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 15, 20				
2a) □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 8-10 and 12-22	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) 10 and 12	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 8, 9, and 13-22	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	11) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
-	ntice of Heterences Cited (P10-892)  Stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/904,042 Page 2

Art Unit: 2503

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8, 9, 13, 17, 18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Dort et al. The reference to Van Dort shows the inventive structure where a memory cell has a gate insulator formed over the substrate with a thicker portion formed over the source/drain regions, and a thinner portion formed over the channel region, as claimed. See, for example, the structure shown in Fig. 1, with thin portion (10) and thicker portion (13) formed over the source/drain. Van Dort also teaches that the gate insulator may be silicon oxide and the device may also incorporate an ONO dielectric layer between the lower and upper gates, as claimed. See, col. 4, 1.20-25.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,042 Page 3

Art Unit: 2503

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 14-16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Dort et al. While Van Dort does not recite the exact dimensions for the gate insulator claimed by applicant, the dimensions used by Van Dort are within the same order of magnitude as those claimed, and variations in these thicknesses would be within the scope of the reference so as to tailor the device characteristics. Similarly, the resulting electric field strengths would also be within the scope of the Van Dort reference, which also seeks to manipulate the electric fields so as to optimize the reference device.

• • •

Art Unit: 2503

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Wojciechowicz, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4898.

Edward Wojciechowicz:ew

October 21, 2002

EDWARD WOJCIECHOWICZ PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2500